

# Determination of copper content in basic cupric carbonate used for fireworks and firecrackers based on Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry (EDXRF)

Wu Jun-yi<sup>1,a</sup>, Qin Shi-cai<sup>2,b</sup>, Long Yun-dong<sup>3,c</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Technical Center for Dangerous Goods Testing of Guangxi Entry-Exit Inspection and Quarantine Bureau, Beihai, Guangxi, China

<sup>2</sup>Pubei Administration of Work Safety

<sup>3</sup>Pubei Administration of Work Safety

<sup>a</sup>johnny-woo@vip.163.com, <sup>b</sup>21612577@qq.com, <sup>c</sup>296525389@qq.com

**Keywords:** fireworks and firecrackers; basic cupric carbonate; copper content; determination; Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry; EDXRF.

**Abstract.** Methods used for the determination of copper content in basic cupric carbonate are mostly based on traditional chemical method, which is lengthy and cumbersome. If inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry or atomic absorption spectrometry are used to determine the copper with high content, the sample solution must be highly diluted, and it must produce errors in measurement and call into question the reliability of the data. The method mentioned in this paper is about the determination of copper content in basic cupric carbonate used for fireworks and firecrackers based on energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometry by controlling matrix effects between elements. Using sample solution of basic cupric carbonate in specific concentrates, the copper content can be determined by the specific calibration curve established with an intensity calibration. This method can provide high accuracy and good precision in a short time with a simple process by efficiently controlling the matrix effects. It can fully meet the requirements for the determination of copper in basic cupric carbonate used for different kinds of fireworks and firecrackers around the world, and it has good generalization and practicability. The average recovery of the method can be 99.25% ~ 99.51%, allowing for a difference of 0.5%.

## Introduction

In China, fireworks and firecrackers are very important consumer recreational products in people's everyday life since ancient times. Gorgeous colors produced by fireworks and firecrackers are even the copper ing role of foiling festal atmosphere in every grand holiday celebrations. In recent years, with the rapid development of global trade, fireworks and firecrackers are becoming more and more popular all over the world, more and more consumers are fascinated by different kinds of patterns, pictures, and sound effects of fireworks and firecrackers. Copper element is commonly found in basic cupric carbonate as primary content used for pyrotechnics, Basic cupric carbonate is one of the most popular chemical material used for fireworks and firecrackers. And the price of the basic cupric carbonate depends on the content of the copper. Quantitative analysis method of the copper content reported in current literature is limited to traditional chemical analysis, such methods have the following disadvantages:(1) Long detecting period. Generally, it will take a skilled technician two whole days or so to complete the detection.(2) The operation is more complicated. It needs to go through many steps such as dissolving sample, filtration, precipitation collection, drying and weighing precipitation and ect. Comparing with traditional chemical analysis methods ,this method

based on energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometry(EDXRF) has the advantages of simple operation steps, short period of detection, high accuracy and good precision.

## Theory

Concept of the method: considering the weight of the sample is 0.5g, constant volume is 0.5L and the concentrations of the copper would be controlled in 0.30g/L~0.55g/L in sample solutions. And it can prove that when the copper content in the solution is in the range of 0.10 g/L~0.84 g/L, there would be little matrix effects among elements. So we can establish a working curve which contains the copper elements with the content of 0.10 g/L~0.84 g/L to determine the copper content in the sample solution. In accordance with the relevant safety regulations, the sample was ground into powder of less than 180 micron. Then the sample powder is placed in an oven at 50°C–55°C and dried for 4 hours, and then placed into a dryer for cooling down to room temperature. Pretreated sample is fully dissolved in nitric acid and then filtered into volumetric flask as sample solution. The sample solution can be put into the sample cup and placed in the tank of the EDXRF to measure the fluorescence intensity of the copper elements. The actual content of copper element in the sample can be calculated from the concentrations of the copper reading by the working curve.

## Experiment section

### Reagents

Unless otherwise stated, all the reagents should be guaranteed reagents and pure water is secondary grade water as described in ISO 3696(1987). Nitric acid (1+1): mix nitric acid and pure water thoroughly according to the proportion of 1:1. Standard working solution of the copper : Weigh 2.50 g high purity basic cupric carbonate powder reference materials (accuracy to 0.1 mg), and put it in a 300 ml beaker, add 80 mL nitric acid (1+1), heat the beaker and make the sample solution slightly boiling on an electric stove for 30 min. After the solution is cool down to the room temperature, transfer the solution into a 500 ml volumetric flask and add pure water to the scale. Then we can separately pipette the standard working solution of the copper fluoride with volume 5 mL、 10 mL、 15 mL、 20 mL、 25 mL、 30 mL、 35 mL and 40 mL into eight 100 mL volumetric flasks which marked from N1 to N8, and add pure water to reach 100 mL in each volumetric flask, mix thoroughly for later use. Concentrations of the standard working solution in different flasks are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Fluorescence intensity of series standard working solutions of copper  
g/L

NO.	Mass concentration (g/L)	Fluorescence intensity (cps/mA)
N <sub>1</sub>	0.1996	258.09
N <sub>2</sub>	0.3992	511.81
N <sub>3</sub>	0.4990	626.78
N <sub>4</sub>	0.5988	733.23
N <sub>5</sub>	0.6986	866.67
N <sub>6</sub>	0.7185	888.71
N <sub>7</sub>	0.7584	955.96
N <sub>8</sub>	0.7784	965.96

### Instrument and apparatus

Oven with accuracy to  $\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Analytical balance with accuracy to 0.1 mg. Energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (EDXRF): United States Thermo Fisher (former Thermo Electron Corporation) Company QUANT'X series.

## Operation step

- (1) Weigh the sample of about 0.5 g, accuracy to 0.1 mg.
- (2) Place the sample into a clean 300 mL beaker, add 80 mL nitric acid (1+1) to the beaker, then place the beaker on an electric stove to make the solution boiled for about 20 min. Filter the solution through filter paper to an 0.5 L volumetric flask, wash the beaker and the filter paper several times with pure water, and make a constant volume with pure water after the filtered solution cooling down to room temperature.
- (3) Parameters of the EDXRF instrument parameters are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 Parameters of the EDXRF instrument

Filter	Medium Pd
Collimator	8.8mm
Voltage	20v
Electric current	Auto
Analysis time	50s
Count rate	Medium
Atmosphere	Air
Matrix effects	Not considered
Energy range	0~20kev
Analysis technique	Intensity correction
sample thickness	≥15mm

(4) Calibration (working) curve: according to the requirements of the method and the instrument criteria, we set the instrument to optimum analysis conditions, and adjust it to the best working condition, and determine spectral intensity of the series standard solution from N<sub>1</sub> to N<sub>8</sub> to establish the calibration (working) curve with the elemental concentrations as independent variable and the spectral intensity as the dependent variable. The linear correlation coefficient of the regression curve should be 0.99 or higher.

(5) Sample determination: determine the fluorescence intensity of the copper in blank solution and every sample solution under the best analysis condition and read the concentrations from the calibration curve according to the spectral intensity.

## Results calculation

Content of the copper element in the sample can be calculated as mass fraction  $W$  and its value shown in% according to the following formula.

$$\omega = \omega_0 \times \frac{0.5}{m} \times \frac{V}{500}$$

Where:  $\omega_0$ —the content of the copper in the sample read by the working curve, expressed in %.

$m$ —quantity of the sample, expressed in milligrams (g).

$V$ —constant volume of the volumetric flask used for the sample solution, expressed in liters(mL).

$\omega$ — the content of the copper in the sample, expressed in %.

0.5—assume that quantity of the sample, expressed in milligrams (g).

500—assume that constant volume of the volumetric flask used for the sample solution, expressed in liters(mL).

## Results and discussion

### Solvent selection

Considering the characteristics of the EDXRF spectrometry, this method selects nitric acid as the solvent for the sample instead of some other strong acids such as hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid, or perchloric acid, which are usually recommended in relevant papers. If these strong acids were to be

selected as the solvents to dissolve the sample, great amounts of chlorine and sulfur elements would be introduced to the sample solution, and these would make great matrix effects on the copper element and affect the accuracy of the test. On the contrary, if nitric acid are used as the solvents, only the nitrogen elements are introduced to the sample solution. So, the other elements would have little matrix effects on the copper element and can be basically ignored.

#### **Selection of standard solution.**

In order to make the standard solution as consistent as possible with the sample solution, the copper standard solution would be selected to make the working curve. It proved that when the concentration of the copper element is controlled to the range of 0.10 g/L~0.84 g/L, it would have little matrix effects on the copper element and can be basically ignored. Because the contents of other impurity elements such as aluminum and manganese are all mostly less than the copper element, they would also have little matrix effects on the copper element in the sample solution.

#### **Recovery test**

To assess the accuracy of the method, we used series basic cupric carbonate reference substances to do recovery test. We dissolved the samples and determined the contents of copper in the sample solution. The values of the copper contents we measured are compared with the theoretical ones, and the experimental data is shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3 Recovery test results

NO.	Reference materials	Reference Code	Copper content of nominal (%)	Copper content of measurment (%)	recovery rate (%)
1	Basic cupric carbonate	Cu01	52.18	51.84	99.35
2	Basic cupric carbonate	Cu 02	51.39	51.06	99.36
3	Basic cupric carbonate	Cu 03	50.87	50.49	99.25
4	Basic cupric carbonate	Cu 04	49.64	49.29	99.29
5	Basic cupric carbonate	Cu 05	48.11	47.81	99.38
6	Basic cupric carbonate	Cu 06	47.37	47.14	99.51
7	Basic cupric carbonate	Cu 07	46.13	45.86	99.41
8	Basic cupric carbonate	Cu 08	46.01	45.61	99.13
Average (X)					99.34
standard deviation (S)					0.50

#### **Conclusions**

Energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (EDXRF) is used to determine the copper content in basic cupric carbonate used for fireworks and firecrackers, this method is accurate and quick with high accuracy and good precision. When the copper content in the sample is in the range of 46.01%~52.18% as mass fraction, the recovery is 99.25%~99.51%.The allowable differential value was 0.5% between two single tests under repeatable conditions. In other word, this method can completely satisfy the requirements of the fireworks and firecrackers industry.

#### **Acknowledgement**

This work was supported by Guangxi Science and Technology Project(NO. AD17129009) and Beihai Science and Technology Project(NO.201602030 & NO.201777023).

## References

- [1] Leif, H. C.; Allan, A. Determination of sulfur and heavy metals in crude oil and petroleum products by energy-dispersive x-ray fluorescence spectrometry and fundamental parameter approach. *Anal. Chem.*, 53(12), pp.1788-1792, 1981.
- [2] Fei, H; Pierre, J. V. E. General approach for quantitative energy dispersive x-ray fluorescence analysis based on fundamental parameters. *Anal. Chem.*, 63(20), pp.2237-2244, 1991.
- [3] Standard test method for determination of lead in paint layers and similar coatings or in substrates and homogenous materials by energy dispersive x-ray fluorescence spectrometry using multiple monochromatic excitation beams, ASTM F2853, American Society for Testing and Materials Publications, 2010.
- [4] Standard test method for analysis of uranium and thorium in soils by energy dispersive x-ray fluorescence spectroscopy, ASTM C1255, American Society for Testing and Materials Publications, 2011.
- [5] Duan Tiyu, Li Suqing, et al. Jewellery, Determination of precious metal content - Method using x-ray fluorescence spectrometry, China National Recommended Standard: GB/T 18043-2013, China Standards Press: Beijing, 2014.
- [6] Leoni. L, Saitta. M, X-ray fluorescence analysis of powder pellets utilizing a small quantity of material, *X-ray Spectrom*, 3, pp.74-77, 1974.
- [7] Rose W.I., Bornhorst T.J., Sivonen S.J., Rapid high-quality major and trace element analysis of powdered rock by x-ray fluorescence spectrometry, *X-ray Spectrom*, 15, pp.55-60, 1986.
- [8] Gy, Pierre M, The analytical and economic importance of correctness in sampling, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 190, pp.13-23, 1986.
- [9] ZHOU Tong-hui, WANG Er-kang, LU Wan-zhen ect. Handbook in analytical chemistry (second edition), basic knowledge of and safety knowledge (the first volume), China Chemical industry press: pp.568-580, 1997.